Pupil premium strategy statement: The Kings CE School, Wolverhampton

1. Summary information					
School	The Kings CE School	The Kings CE School, Wolverhampton			
Academic Year	2019/20	019/20 PP budget £247,775 Date of most recent PP Review Sep 19			
Total number of pupils (Yr 7-11)	Sept 19- 553 Sept 18 – 573	Number of pupils eligible for PP Sept 19- 265 (48%) Sept 18 - 269 (47%)		Date for next internal review of this strategy	April 20

2. Current attainment				
*2018/19 figures still require validation	Pupils eligible for PP	Pupils not eligible for PP (school average)		
Progress 8 score average (from 2015/16)	-1.05	-0.82		
Attainment 8 score average (from 2015/16)	40.3	42.27		
Progress 8 score average (from 2016/17)	-0.42	+0.1		
Attainment 8 score average (from 2016/17)	41.26	48.76		
Progress 8 score average (from 2017/18)	-0.47	-0.16		
Attainment 8 score average (from 2017/18)	36.1	49.8		
Progress 8 score average (from 2018/19)	-0.48	+0.047		
Attainment 8 score average (from 2018/19)	41.78	45.91		

3. Ba	3. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP including high ability)				
In-sch	In-school barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor literacy skills)				
A.	Behaviour issues from a small number of pupils in each year group has a detrimental effect on the progress of themselves and others. 2018/19 behaviour data suggests 80% of 2018/19 FTEs recorded by PP pupils.				
B.	2018/19 progress data suggests that middle and low attaining pupils who are eligible for PP make less progress than low and middle attaining pupils who are not eligible.				
C.	C. Completion of Extended Learning is inconsistent, particularly for PP pupils; this means pupils in KS4 are unprepared for the quantity and depth of independent work required for success at GCSE level. School survey data indicates that many PP pupils have limited access to effective learning environment at home.				
Extern	nal barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)				

D.	Lack of opportunities to raise cultural capital and aspirations; particularly for PP pupils.
E.	Attendance and punctuality rates for PP pupils are lower than that of non-PP pupils and lower than national averages for all pupils. This reduces their school hours and causes them to fall behind on average.
F.	Barriers parental engagement and support for interventions. PP parental engagement has been a challenge with regard to attendance at parent evenings/ PTA and parent forum compared to non-PP pupils.

4. C	Outcomes	
	Desired outcomes and how they will be measured	Success criteria
A.	Improved behaviour for learning in all lessons and reduced number of behaviour incidents for all pupils; particularly PP pupils.	Proportion of behaviour incidents involving PP students is equal to or lower than proportion of NPP students in the school Total number of behaviour incidents is reduced and the number of PP pupils involved in behaviour incidents is reduced Learning walk, SSR and pupil voice all evidence improved behaviour for learning in all year groups
B.	Improved attainment and progress of PP pupils in external examinations	KS3 progress of PP pupils in line with those of NPP pupils and in line with their targets. Progress 8 scores of PP pupils in line with NPP pupils and national averages. Where PP pupils are falling behind, interventions are put in place, recorded and measured, overseen by CTLs, HOY and SLT
C.	Extended Learning is completed more consistently and its completion is viewed as an integral and necessary part of the learning progress. Class Charts' EL is monitored. "Extended Learning" measures on reports indicate completion and quality of extended leaning is improved	"Extended Learning" measures for PP pupils show an increased proportion of "greens" to indicate completion is good and deadlines are met. Extended Learning is set, recorded and completed in all subjects using Class Charts. Learning walk, SSR, book monitoring and pupil voice all evidence improved completion of Extended Learning in all year groups
D.	Improved aspiration, confidence and engagement levels for PP pupils and other pupils, so that "Approach to Learning" ratio scores improve over time. Proportion of PP pupils retaining their SDfL behaviour points increases each time. Proportion of school leadership positions filled by PP pupils increases.	Average AtL ratios of PP pupils improve and are in line with non-PP pupils. Average AtL ratios improve for all pupils Proportion of PP pupils continuing into Post 16 education increases. Proportion of PP students moving on to universities/Russell Group universities increase. Proportion of PP pupils in the 20:20 SDfL Club increases each term. 50% PP aim for all pupil leadership positions.
E.	Improved attendance and punctuality records for PP pupils	Trends show that attendance and punctuality rates of PP pupils are improving and are in line with NPPP pupils in the school and national averages for all pupils

		The percentage of PP pupils who are persistent absentees is reduced Improved attendance and engagement of PP pupils at extra-curricular activities monitored through Class Charts. Rewards to be used to promote engagement.
F.	Improved parental engagement so that attendance at Parents' Evenings and other events increases. Improved standard of written reports to parents and increased support for initiatives and interventions put in place to support pupils. Focused subject interventions to start earlier in the academic year with attendance compulsory for selected PP pupils.	Improved rates of attendance at parents' evenings All parents come into school to discuss the progress of their child when invited, either at a parents' evening or at an alternative date Parents feel more involved in their child's education as evidenced in parental surveys

5. Planned expenditure			
Academic year	2019/20		

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.

i. Quality of teaching for all

Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review?
D. Improved aspiration, confidence and engagement levels for PP pupils and other pupils, so that "Approach to Learning" ratios are in line with other pupils	 Marking and feedback is of a consistently high standard (as detailed in SIP). Opportunities given to pupils off-timetable (100th lesson) to respond to teachers' feedback. 	• EEF + 8 months • EEF + 5 months	 Through SIP monitoring and evaluation Through SIP monitoring and evaluation 	LUD/SUT	Jan 20 Jan 20
Improved attainment and progress of PP pupils in external examinations in order to continue to reduce attainment gap between PP and other pupils.	 Consistent use of protocol allow pupils to develop their own understanding and include elements of self-regulation (SDfL) and collaborative learning (as detailed in SIP). Heads of year to focus on the tracking and monitoring of academic progress identifying links between poor attendance, behaviour and achievement. 	 Positive outcomes from similar strategy used 2017/18 (Yr 11 HOY) Need to coordinate all intervention (and revision sessions for Year 11) 	 Weekly update meetings involving SLT and Year 11 Head of Year Regular assemblies with Year groups Calendared meetings for HOY to feedback to extended SLT regarding progress of their year group 	SUT/LIN/H OY	

C. Extended Learning completed more consistently and completion viewed as an integral and necessary part of learning. Class Charts to be monitored. Average EL to be at least YR 8 – 1.2 YR 9 – 1.2 YR 10 – 1.3 YR 11 – 1.3 for PP pupils and in line with NPP	Extended learning is used consistently and effectively to support learning in class and promote independent learning (as detailed in SIP)	• EEF + 5 months	 Through SIP monitoring and evaluation. "Extended Learning" measures on reports Setting of EL reviewed by SLT through Class Charts. 	GRE	after each data trawl
	Total budgeted c	ost	Appointment of head	ds of year	£10000
Marking and Feedback CPD			ack CPD	£1000	
			Administrator to track inte	rventions	£2000

Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review?
B. Improved attainment and achievement of PP pupils, measured across all year groups through teacher assessment (moderated	 Small group sessions for Year 11 PP pupils with PLC support staff. Small group intensive programme for PP 	 EEF + 5 months Positive outcomes from similar strategy used last year Feedback from pupils involved in the 	Review of pupil progress in subsequent assessments. Feedback/analysis by Maths mentor	MIT	Dec 2019+ March 2020+ June 2020
within and across departments, faculties and through school partnerships) and internal and external assessments.	pupils with low attainment in years 7 and 8 – Numeracy and literacy. <i>Catch-up</i> literacy programme to provide intervention through PLC. JON	small group sessions • EEF +4 months	 Feedback/analysis by AR lead Pupil voice Analysis of data in Maths and English 	MIT/ JON	Dec 2019 Dec 2019+
D. Improved aspiration, confidence and engagement levels for PP pupils and other pupils, so that "Approach	 Peer support of Year 7 PP pupils with Year 12 & 13 DEAR mentors. 	Success of programme in school last year	Pupil voice, analysis of data and strategic pairings overseen by heads of year	KAU	March 2020+ June 2020

to Learning" scores improve over time	 Peer mentoring from 6th form students offered and encouraged for all PP pupils in Year 11. Revision guides supplemented (and/or 	• EEF +5 months • EEF +5 months	 Liaison with FEA to ensure strategic pairings One-to-one interviews and follow-up with year 11 pupils (By SLT/HOY 11) Feedback from teachers, pupil voice, 	ALN/K AU	Dec 2019+ March 2020+ June 2020
	printed) for PP pupils and BTEC exam resit fees waived. • Additional support provided in lessons by intervention colleagues leading to smaller group tuition.	• EEF +4 months	• Feedback from teachers, pupil voice, tracking of progress	LIN	
	 Raising aspirations and achievements of more able pupils in years 10 and 11 through: Mentoring and guidance for most able PP pupils in year 11, including how to manage pressure, expectation and work load in the lead up to exams Underachieving PP pupils in year 11 have an allocated senior staff mentor 	 EEF + 2 months Success of programme in previous years in managing the stress and worry of most able in the run up to GCSE examinations 	 Analysis of more able data Pupil voice Tracking of progress 	SUT/K AU/LI N	
	 Dinner time and after school small group work for PP pupils in year 11 targeted 8/9 in Maths/Eng/Sci University trips to motivate, inspire, raise aspirations and increase cultural capital for PP pupils. Including work through the 'Brilliant Club' and 'Scholars Programme' to involve academic work. 	 Raising aspiration together with significant academic component, shown to be effective. 			
	Assistant Principal to have whole school responsibility to co-ordinate PP interventions and support. This will include: A provision map of interventions, implemented to monitor the effectiveness and value for money of all interventions.			LIN/ SUT	DEC 19

Provide alternative provision for those pupils who need ongoing, specific support. Use of school PLC to support with small group interventions. Provide the services of an Educational Psychologist and specialist teacher for those pupils who need specific support.		
Total budgeted co	Maths mentors	£2000
	Workshops to reduce exam pressure/anxiety	£1000
	Allocated mentor for all PP pupils in Yr 10 and 1	£4300
	Workshops for PP/More Able pupils in English/Maths and Science	£2000
	University Visits and running the 'Brilliant Club	£500
	Assistant Principal to co-ordinate PP interventions and suppor	£17,500
	Vice Principal for managemen	£22,000
	Additional support in lessons resulting in smaller group tuition	£21,000
	Provide alternative provision for those pupils who need ongoing, specific support	£20,000
	Additional private tuition	£8000
	Provide the services of an Educational Psychologist and specialist teacher for those pupils who need specific support	~ ,
	Provide SEMH resource base (PLC) for pupils who require targeted suppor	£11,875
iii. Other approaches		

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review?
D.Improved aspiration, confidence and engagement levels for PP pupils and other pupils, so that "Approach to Learning" scores improve over	Full access to enrichment activities for PP pupils improves confidence, sense of belonging, staff/pupil relationships, motivation and outcomes.	EEF +3months	Registers of attendance at extra- curricular clubs and enrichment events. Toggeted invites for RR graphs.	LIN/ PUG	Oct 19 Dec 19
time	Invite PP students to attend after school,		 Targeted invites for PP pupils to apply for school leadership roles (aim for 50%) 	PUG	
(at least 2.5 and in line with other students.)	Saturday morning and holiday sessions.	EEF +2months	 Staff to encourage participation of PP pupils in extra-curricular sports 	SUT	Oct 19
	Subsidise peripatetic music lessons to increase PP cultural capital.	EEF + 4 months EEF + 2 months	For school trips, PP pupils to be made aware financial support is available		

B. Improved behaviour for learning in all lessons and reduced number of behaviour incidents for all pupils and PP pupils	Weekly quiz on current affairs to promote PP pupils understanding of the world around them and increase cultural literacy.	EEF + 2 months	 For school trips/visits evaluation form to measure impact of trip/visit with a specific section for impact on PP pupils Class charts monitored and evaluated through learning walks. 	LIN/K AU	Oct 19
	Encouragement of more PP pupils to take part in extra-curricular sports, including weekly inter-house sports competitions improve cultural capital.	EEF + 4 months			
	Use of class charts and provision map allowing teachers to create strategic seating plans and know their students better.				Summer 20
	Subsidise school meals Commission a Pupil Premium review				
A. Improved behaviour for learning in all lessons and reduced number of behaviour incidents for all pupils and PP pupils	Whole school approach to improving behaviour for learning through provision of CPD, behaviour policy (SDfL) and behaviour interventions (as detailed in the SIP), IE. Led by Vice Principal, Assistant Principal HOY 11.	• EEF +4 months	Through SIP monitoring and evaluation	SUT/ LIN/K AU	Dec 19
D. Improved aspiration, confidence and engagement levels for PP pupils and other pupils, so that "Approach to Learning" scores improve over time (at least 2.5 and in line with other students.)	 Individual pupil profile for all PP pupils, compiled by teachers and support staff, detailing barriers to learning and strategies that work for each PP pupil Develop strategy to record in class intervention using SIMS shared with colleagues. (identified after each data trawl) 	Identification of pupils who respond well to the approaches of certain teachers and support staff, led to us recognising a need to share this information	Pupil premium champion in each zone to ensure completion and update within their zone Through data analysis and pupil voice	LIN	Dec 2019+ March 2020+ June 2020

E. Improved attendance and punctuality records for PP pupils	Rewards, sanctions and follow-ups put in place to improve attendance and punctuality (as detailed in the SIP)	Strategies have worked in similar schools	Through SIP monitoring and evaluation Attendance officer	SUT/ BAR	Dec 2019+ March 2020+ June 2020
	Improve attendance through improved engagement	Engagement is key to pupils wanting to be in school			
	Employment of educational welfare officers to liaise and support parents to encourage good attendance.				
F. Improved parental engagement so that attendance at Parents' Evenings and other events increases. Improved standard of written reports	Whole school approach to improving parental engagement and reporting to parents (as detailed in SIP)	• EEF +3 months	Through SIP monitoring and evaluation	SUT	After each parents evening
to parents and increased support for initiatives and interventions put in place to support pupils	Parent/carer of each PP pupil in a year group to be contacted, by phone, by a member of SLT to ensure attendance at parents' evening and, where they cannot attend, an alternative meeting arranged. Minibus will be provided for parents evening to encourage attendance.	• EEF +3 months			
B. Improved attainment and achievement of PP pupils, measured across all year groups through teacher assessment (moderated within and across departments) and	 Improved reading ages for pupils in years 7 and 8 through use of targeted intervention. Book Bus funding to provide a reading book of choice for all PP pupils in years 7 and 8 	EEF +5 months Pupils who read for pleasure do better academically	Regular monitoring of reading levels and targeting pupils as necessary	SUT/ LIN/B LA	Dec 19
internal and external assessments	Staff to use data analysis system SISRA to inform planning and future interventions.				
	 Ongoing training/CPD to ensure all staff can use SISRA effectively 				
Total budgeted cost		st	After school and holiday sessions		£2000
		Subsidise peripatetic music lessons		£20000	
	Subsidise visits and trips			£4000	
			We	ekly quiz	£400

Commission an external pupil premium review	£800
Vice Principal to lead on behaviour	£30,000
Restorative Practice co-ordinator	£25,000
Attendance officer	£14,000
Educational Welfare Officer	£4000
Parents of PP pupils to be contacted by phone prior to parent evenings	£3000
Minibus service to run of parents evenings	£2000
School meals subsidy	£4400
SISRA data analysis programme and ongoing related CPD	£3000
£247,775	